NEJMI	1 2 3 4 5	JAMES P. KEMP, ESQUIRE Nevada Bar No. 006375 KEMP & KEMP, ATTORNEYS AT LAW 7435 W. Azure Drive, Suite 110, Las Vegas, NV 89130 (702) 258-1183 tel./(702) 258-6983 fax jp@kemp-attorneys.com Attorney for Plaintiff Thomas Mooney  UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	7 8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THOMAS MOONEY,  Plaintiff,  v.  FIFE DERMATOLOGY, PC, d/b/a SURGICAL DERMATOLOGY & LASER CENTER; DOUGLAS FIFE, M.D.; and HEATHER FIFE,  Defendants.	CASE NO. 2:17-cv-02191-JCM-DJA  STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANTS TO FILE THEIR REPLY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT  [ECF NO. 71]  (SECOND REQUEST)
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	The Parties, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate for a second time to extend the time for Plaintiff to respond to Defendants' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT [ECF No. 71] from the current extended deadline of Monday, March 28, 2022 through and including <i>Monday, April 11, 2022</i> . Based on Plaintiff's need for a second extension, the Parties further stipulate that Defendants shall have up to and including <i>Monday, May 16, 2022</i> to file their reply in support of their Motion for Summary Judgment.	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This is the SECOND request for an extension of Plaintiff's deadline to respond to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 71]. The Parties provide the following information to the Court regarding the proposed extension of time:

- Defendants filed their Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF No. 71] on 1. February 11, 2022, and a related Errata [ECF No. 74] solely concerning exhibits on February 15, 2022.
- 2. On March 1, 2022, Plaintiff's counsel contacted Defendants' counsel with a request to amend the summary judgment briefing schedule with a threeweek extension for Plaintiff's response deadline, moving the deadline from March 4, 2022 to March 28, 2022 based on the fact that Plaintiff's counsel was scheduled to be on vacation from March 4, 2022 to March 18, 2022 for a long-planned two-week cruise where he and his wife are celebrating their 25th wedding anniversary. During this long-planned cruise, Plaintiff's counsel did not have any time to work on the response to Defendants' comprehensive motion seeking summary judgment on all claims until March 21, 2022. Plaintiff's counsel has been working on the response, but has not had time to complete it because of an intervening factor that was somewhat unexpected.
- 3. While Plaintiff's counsel was on vacation, on March 11, 2022, Plaintiff's counsel received an order in Case 8:18-cv-01727-MAA Damian Raffele v. VCA, Inc in the Central District of California that after approximately seven months of waiting due to COVID-19 delays a jury and a courtroom has been confirmed for a jury trial commencing at 8:30 a.m. on March 30, 2022, which is this coming Wednesday. Accordingly, Plaintiff's counsel has had to divert efforts from working on the extensive summary

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

judgment opposition due in this case to trial preparations in the Raffele matter. This trial was not expected to be able to go, but now that the opportunity has come up, the Judge in California is insistent that it commence and get completed. Plaintiff's counsel must leave for California today, March 28, 2022.

- 4. On March 27, 2022, Plaintiff's counsel emailed Defendants' counsel to request an additional two weeks to file his response for the reasons set forth above. Defendants' counsel granted Plaintiff's counsel's request for additional time. To that end, the Parties agree that Plaintiff may have until Monday, April 11, 2022 to file his Response to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.
- 5. The Parties have further agreed to extend the time for Defendants' Reply by three weeks from Monday, April 25, 2022 to Monday, May 16, 2022. See LR 7-2 (setting deadline for Reply in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment at 14 days). This additional time is needed by Defendants to account for Plaintiff's extension request, which request affects Defendants' drafting timeframe as it now intersects with the Easter holiday, pre-planned travel, spring break for Clark County School District students, and time-intensive obligations in other matters (including, but not limited to, preparation for an evidentiary hearing currently set for the week of May 9, 2022 2:20-cv-00406-RFB-BNW).
- 6. There are no other deadlines or proceedings with which this extension would interfere.

1 This stipulation to extend the Response and Reply deadlines regarding Defendants' 2 Motion for Summary Judgment [EFC No. 71] (to Monday, April 11, 2022 and Monday, May 16, 3 2022, respectively) is made in good faith and not for the purposes of delay. 4 5 DATED this 28<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2022. DATED this 28th day of March, 2022. 6 /s/ Hayley J. Cummings (with permission) /s/ James P. Kemp 7 P. SWEN PRIOR, ESQ. JAMES P. KEMP, ESQ. Bar No. 6375 HAYLEY CUMMINGS, ESQ, 8 **SNELL & WILMER** KEMP & KEMP, Attorneys at Law 3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy., #1100 7435 W. Azure Drive, Suite 110 9 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Las Vegas, NV 89130 10 Attorneys for Defendants Attorney for Plaintiff 11 12 **ORDER** 13 ATTORNEYS AT LAW
7435 W. Azure Drive, Suite 110
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89130
Tel. (702) 258-1183 \u03b8 Fax (702) 258-6983 14 IT IS SO ORDERED: 15 16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 17 March 29, 2022 DATED: 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28